# THE National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup>

## Wilsonville, OR

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

### Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Wilsonville's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Wilsonville's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Overall, ratings of the dimensions of community livability tended to be strong and similar to communities across the nation. Ratings for facets within Community Characteristics related to Mobility and Natural Environment tended to be higher than the national benchmark. Within the pillar of Governance, aspects of Mobility, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement also saw high ratings. Reported rates of Participation within Built Environment also tended to be higher for Wilsonville residents. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance			Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower		
Overall	14	33	0	15	29	0	4	29	3		
General	3	4	0	0	3	0	0	3	0		
Safety	0	3	0	0	7	0	0	3	0		
Mobility	4	3	0	5	2	0	0	3	0		
Natural Environment	2	0	0	2	4	0	1	2	0		
Built Environment	1	4	0	2	5	0	1	1	0		
Economy	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	3	0		
Recreation and Wellness	0	4	0	1	2	0	1	4	0		
Education and Enrichment	1	5	0	1	1	0	1	1	1		
Community Engagement	0	5	0	4	4	0	0	9	2		

#### Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

National Benchr	nark
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

#### Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
Overall appearance	$\leftrightarrow$	1	92%	Customer service	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	82%	Recommend Wilsonville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
Overall quality of life	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	94%	Services provided by Wilsonville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%	Remain in Wilsonville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	85%
Place to retire Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Services provided by the Federal Government	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%	Contacted Wilsonville employees	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	36%
Place to raise children	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	97%								
Place to live	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	95%								
Neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	93%								
Overall image	$\leftrightarrow$	1	88%								
Overall feeling of safety	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Police	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
Safe in neighborhood	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	97%	Crime prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%	Did NOT report a crime	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	86%
Safe commercial areas	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	96%	Fire	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	94%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	45%
5				Fire prevention	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%				
				Ambulance/EMS	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%				
				Emergency preparedness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%				
				Animal control	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	76%				
Traffic flow	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	38%	Traffic enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	58%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	41%
Travel by car	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%	Street repair	Ļ	Ť	63%	Walked or biked instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%
Travel by bicycle	Ļ	↑ (	67%	Street cleaning	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	83%	Used public transportation instead of driving	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	27%
Ease of walking	$\leftrightarrow$	1	82%	Street lighting	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	86%				
Travel by public transportation	$\leftrightarrow$	↑↑	67%	Sidewalk maintenance	$\leftrightarrow$	Ť	79%				
Overall ease travel	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Traffic signal timing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	60%				
Paths and walking trails	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	83%	Bus or transit services	$\leftrightarrow$	<u></u>	83%				
Overall natural environment	$\leftrightarrow$	1	93%	Garbage collection	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	91%	Recycled at home	$\leftrightarrow$	1	98%
Cleanliness	$\leftrightarrow$	1	92%	Recycling	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	83%	Conserved water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	78%
Overall natural environment Cleanliness				Yard waste pick-up	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%	Made home more energy efficient	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%
5				Drinking water	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%				
				Open space	$\leftrightarrow$	<u></u>	80%				
				Natural areas preservation	1	<u></u>	78%				
New development in Wilsonville	1	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Sewer services	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%
Wilsonville Affordable quality housing Housing options Overall built environment Public places	1	$\leftrightarrow$	38%	Storm drainage	1	Ť	83%	Did NOT observe a code violation	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	73%
Housing options	↑ 1	$\leftrightarrow$	62%	Power utility	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	88%				
Overall built environment	$\leftrightarrow$	1	77%	Utility billing	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%				
Public places	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%	Land use, planning and zoning	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	57%				
5				Code enforcement	$\leftrightarrow$	<u>↑</u>	71%				
				Cable television	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	54%				

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↑ Higher

↔ Similar

↓ Lower

\* Not available

2

 $\downarrow\downarrow$  Much lower

Note:   Oracial economic health		Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
Subpring opportunities   i.e.   Subpring opportunities   i.e.   in Walksonville   i.e.   i.e.   in Walksonville   i.e.   i.e.   Subpring opportunities     Place to visit		Overall economic health	$\leftrightarrow$	1 1	81%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	66%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%
Vorant downownee1 $1 \rightarrow 0$		Shopping opportunities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	50%						$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	99%
Vorant downownee1 $1 \rightarrow 0$	ĥ	Employment opportunities	1	↑ 1	53%					Work in Wilsonville	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	34%
Vibrati elect to work4447%16111 </td <td>ouo</td> <td>Place to visit</td> <td><math>\leftrightarrow</math></td> <td><math>\leftrightarrow</math></td> <td>55%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ouo	Place to visit	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	55%								
$ \begin to the term of the t$	ы		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	35%								
Business and services1 $\rightarrow$ 70% $\sim$ <			Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$									
Fitness opportunities1 $\leftrightarrow$ 75%City parks $\leftrightarrow$ 194%In very good to excellent health $\leftrightarrow$ $\leftrightarrow$ 66%Recreational opportunities1 $\leftrightarrow$ 72%Recreation centers1 $\leftrightarrow$ 75%Udd Wilsonville recreation centers1 $\leftrightarrow$ 449%Food $\leftrightarrow$ $\leftrightarrow$ 67%Recreation programs1 $\leftrightarrow$ 75%Udd Wilsonville recreation centers1 $\leftrightarrow$ 193%Health and wellness1 $\leftarrow$ 72%Recreation programs1 $\leftrightarrow$ 81%Visited a City park $\leftrightarrow$ 193%Health and wellness1 $\leftarrow$ 72%Recreation programs1 $\leftrightarrow$ 81%Visited a City park $\leftrightarrow$ 193%Health and wellness11 $\leftarrow$ 72%Public libraries $\leftarrow$ 196%Used Wisonville programs $\leftarrow$ 193%Cultural/arts/music activities $\leftarrow$ 193%Public libraries $\leftarrow$ 196%Used Wisonville programs $\leftarrow$ 127%Cultural/arts/music activities $\leftarrow$ 56%Special events $\leftarrow$ 196%State activities $\leftarrow$ 127%Addit education1 $\leftarrow$ 75%Cultural/arts/music activities $\leftarrow$ 127%27%Addit education1 $\leftarrow$ 75%Public libraries $\leftarrow$ 188%Sense of community $\leftarrow$ $\leftarrow$ 1Addit education1 $\leftarrow$ 75%		Place to work	$\leftrightarrow$	<b>↑</b>									
		Business and services	1	$\leftrightarrow$	70%								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	lness	Fitness opportunities	<b>↑</b>	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	City parks	$\leftrightarrow$	1	94%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	68%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	d Wel	Recreational opportunities	↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	72%	Recreation centers	1	$\leftrightarrow$	75%		Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	49%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ano	Food	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	67%	Recreation programs	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	81%	Visited a City park	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	93%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ation	Health and wellness	↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	72%						$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	87%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Recre									Participated in moderate or	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		K-12 education	1	1 T	93%	Public libraries	$\leftrightarrow$	↑	96%	Used Wilsonville public	$\leftrightarrow$	1	74%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ichme	Cultural/arts/music activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%	Special events	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Participated in religious or	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	27%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	nd Enr	Child care/preschool	1	$\leftrightarrow$	57%					Attended a City-sponsored	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	56%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	ion ar		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	cat		↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	59%								
	Edu		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%								
Opportunities to volunteer   ↔   ↔   73%   Overall direction   ↑   ↔   68%   Voted in local elections   ↔   ↔   89%     Opportunities to volunteer   ↔   ↔   59%   Value of services for taxes paid   ↑   ↔   67%   Talked to or visited with neighbors   ↔   ↔   92%     Social events and activities   ↔   ↔   65%   Welcoming citizen involvement   ↔   ↑   68%   Matched a local public meeting   ↔   ↔   23%     Neighborliness   ↔   ↔   71%   Confidence in City government   ↔   ☆   ☆   64%   Watched a local public meeting   ↔   ↓   ↓   12%     Image: Social events and activities   ↔   ↑   ↑   ↑   72%   Participated in a club   ↓   ↓   ↓   29%     Image: Social events and activities   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓   ↓			↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	75%	Public information	$\leftrightarrow$	1	83%	Sense of community	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	74%
$ \frac{1}{12} $			$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	73%	Overall direction	↑	$\leftrightarrow$	68%	Voted in local elections	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	89%
Social events and activities ↔ ↔ ↑ 68% Attended a local public meeting ↔ ↓ 23%   Neighborliness ↔ ↔ 71% Confidence in City government ↔ 66% Attended a local public meeting ↔ ↓ 12%   Neighborliness ↔ ↔ 71% Confidence in City government ↔ 66% Watched a local public meeting ↔ ↓		Openness and acceptance	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	59%		↑ (	$\leftrightarrow$	67%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	92%
Tairiy	unity Engagement	Social events and activities	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Welcoming citizen	$\leftrightarrow$	1	68%	Attended a local public	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	23%
Tairiy		Neighborliness	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	71%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	64%	Watched a local public	$\leftrightarrow$	Ļ	12%
Tairiy							$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	65%	Volunteered	Ļ	Ļ	29%
Image: Sector Condition Image: Sector Conditing Image: Sector Conditing <td>Ш</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Being honest</td> <td><b>↑</b></td> <td><u>↑</u></td> <td>72%</td> <td>Participated in a club</td> <td>Ļ</td> <td><math>\leftrightarrow</math></td> <td>18%</td>	Ш					Being honest	<b>↑</b>	<u>↑</u>	72%	Participated in a club	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	18%
Image: second secon	Соп						1	1	70%		$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	20%
											$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	11%
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline \hline$										Read or watched local news	$\leftrightarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$	80%
										Done a favor for a neighbor	Ļ	$\leftrightarrow$	72%

#### Legend

↑↑ Much higher

↔ Similar

↑ Higher

↓ Lower

↓↓ Much lower

\* Not available

3