PLANNING COMMISSION WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 13, 2016 6:00 P.M.

Wilsonville City Hall 29799 SW Town Center Loop East Wilsonville, Oregon

2/10/2016 Approved as Presented

Minutes

I. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL

Acting Chair Greenfield called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Those present:

Planning Commission: Jerry Greenfield, Eric Postma, Al Levit, Peter Hurley, Simon Springall, and Phyllis Millan. City Councilor Charlotte Lehan was absent.

City Staff: Chris Neamtzu, Michael Kohlhoff, Miranda Bateschell

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

III. ELECTION OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR 2016

Al Levit nominated Jerry Greenfield as the 2016 Planning Commission Chair. Phyllis Millan seconded.

Peter Hurley moved to close nominations for Chair. Eric Postma seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Jerry Greenfield was unanimously elected as the 2016 Planning Commission Chair.

Phyllis Millan nominated Eric Postma as the 2016 Planning Commission Vice Chair. Simon Springall seconded.

Peter Hurley moved to close nominations for Vice Chair. Al Levit seconded and the motion passed unanimously.

Eric Postma was unanimously elected as the 2016 Planning Commission Vice Chair.

IV. CITIZEN'S INPUT - This is an opportunity for visitors to address the Planning Commission on items not on the agenda. There was none.

V. CITY COUNCIL LIAISON REPORT

There was no City Council Liaison Report due to Councilor Lehan's absence.

Commissioner Millan asked Staff for a brief update on where things stood with regard to the City Council's vote on the Frog Pond Master Plan, and where the City was with that process.

Chris Neamtzu, Planning Director, said at the November 16, 2015 meeting, Council deliberated based upon several hours of testimony at the prior meeting and asked many questions of Staff. The item was continued at that time to ensure maximum City Councilor exposure. Staff proposed additional modifications to the Frog Pond Master Plan to enhance some of the recommendations from the Planning Commission hearing. Specific language was added to revisit the density of the attached row home product and the specific location of the retail center as part of master planning. Additionally, the Grange site was to have specific reference to arts

and culture rather than just environmental types of uses. The Council was comfortable adding more specificity and detail into the concept plan as placeholders. The text was very clear that this was not a unanimous position and that different points of view existed amongst the community members. Because the process was many years into the future, it made sense to try to codify that in specific language that anyone could pick up, read, understand, and then address when the time was more appropriate. The vote was 4 to 1 with Councilor Starr dissenting and the final document had been reposted on the project web page.

Commissioner Millan said she had read the information on the web page, but wanted to make sure she was reading it correctly, especially with regard to the density issue with which the Commission had struggled.

Chair Greenfield believed the changes made the recommendation stronger than the Commission had been comfortable with in its initial recommendation to City Council and he was pleased with the result.

VI. CONSIDERATION OF THE MINUTES

A. Consideration of the December 9, 2015 Planning Commission minutes

Chair Greenfield cited Robert's Rules of Order regarding the approval of minutes, noting that the minutes could be approved as distributed with any noted corrections without a formal motion. He confirmed the Commissioners did not object to implementing this change of procedure.

The December 9, 2015 Planning Commission minutes were approved as presented.

VII. WORK SESSION

A. Basalt Creek Concept Planning Update (Bateschell)

Miranda Bateschell, Long-Range Planning Manager, presented the update on the Basalt Creek Concept Plan Update and Next Steps via PowerPoint, noting that in December, the Joint City Council of the Cities of Tualatin and Wilsonville identified a preferred jurisdictional boundary for the Basalt Creek Planning Area.

Discussion and feedback from the Planning Commission was as follows with responses by Staff to various Commissioner questions, as noted:

- TDT was the acronym for Transportation Development Tax, and TLT was the Transit Lodging Tax; both were referenced in the Considerations for Success document (Page 4 of 5 of the Staff report). Mayor Knapp presented the Considerations for Success at December 16, 2015 Joint Council meeting and the Joint Council agreed to the document at that meeting. The Transit Lodging Tax was not specifically discussed at that meeting, but would likely be considered. Most of that tax was supposed go to tourism.
- Item 5 in the Considerations for Success document stated that any substantial additional traffic loads from external locations would likely overload the system. A lot of regional traffic was expected from the development of 124th Ave in addition to the parkway. Traffic loads were projected in the study for the 124th Ave Extension, but the external locations mentioned in Item 5 regarded the issue of other regional facilities potentially connecting to124th Ave, and the regional impacts that might create to this planning area.
 - The Joint Council wanted the Planning Commission to be attentive and aware of this in the event that those discussions took place because it would directly impact the planning area. The Joint Council wanted to be unified on those issues to ensure the planning area was protected moving forward.
 - A transportation model had been done for the planning area with the development projected in terms
 of the land uses. That study was available for the Commission. Traffic from the planning area was
 pretty well split between those traveling north and south. Impacts were definitely seen in the 20-year
 horizon from the 124th Ave Extension that would require upgrades to the City's intersections and to
 Grahams Ferry Rd, Boones Ferry Rd, and Day Rd.
 - The 124th Ave Extension would pass through the Sherwood industrial area. All the areas adjacent to Basalt Creek had master plans in place, including the Tonquin Employment Area, Southwest Tualatin

Concept Plan, and Coffee Creek Basalt Creek would be the last to be master planned. The entire area was approximately 2,000 cumulative acres.

- The study done was done in the spring of 2015, so to avoid the traffic congestion around the new Cabela's shopping area development.
- The 124th Ave Connector was a big concern for Wilsonville. They were intending to route traffic off the Tualatin/Sherwood Hwy south towards I-5 at the Elligsen junction. Including that in the model would be pertinent to the Basalt Creek development because the parkway and 124th Ave Extension went directly through the subject area. The 124th Ave Extension was to relieve traffic through the congested downtown area of Tualatin, not traffic on Tonquin Rd. Having a unified approach between Tualatin and Wilsonville was a challenge due to the Cities' opposing interests in the area with regard to traffic. It would be important to be specific with the traffic caps, although that might not be enough.
 - Washington County's role in the traffic routing was important because Tonquin Rd was a local road and the parkway would be a County road. The County is the lead on the 124th Ave Extension and Basalt Creek Parkway and had the modeling completed and shared the results with the City. The County sits on the Basalt Creek Technical Advisory Board.
- There was a long-term plan for an overpass, enabling the Basalt Creek Parkway to cross over 1-5 at Greenhill Lane. The overpass was not shown on the Preferred Jurisdictional Boundary Map, as it was along the planning horizon at Greenhill on the current map's printed boundaries.
- The future Basalt Creek Parkway would split Frontage Road along Boones Ferry Rd and will serve as the dividing line, requiring two access points for the both sides of the residential community.
 - The residential area along Boones Ferry Rd south would remain Wilsonville residential. The City's longterm vision for the area was employment and industrial uses, so the area was anticipated to be some type of employment use. Residential property owners would remain in the County and when they wanted the opportunity to develop into something else that change would occur with a City of Wilsonville annexation.
 - The residential area east of Boones Ferry was pretty small. The full parcel appeared larger, but most of the back sides of the parcels were in the Basalt Creek Canyon and what would be the sensitive resource overlay. The frontage was about 10 acres.
- Staff clarified the locations of the prison, Coffee Creek Area, and Horizon School property, which was in the City of Tualatin on the Preferred Jurisdictional Boundary map (Slide 11). The teal color represented existing City of Wilsonville lands and the white between the teal areas indicated the Coffee Creek Area.
 - Staff reviewed the boundary options discussed to date, confirming the dividing line east of Boones Ferry Rd remained the same throughout the discussion, which was to follow Greenhill Ln, partly due to the anticipated overpass and because it seemed to be a clear dividing line.
- The elevation of the parkway coming over the railroad in the western portion of the planning area would be about 30 ft high. The parkway would return to grade shortly and then rise to about 5 to 10 feet above grade at the BPA easement. The parkway would be about 5 to 10 feet above grade, but would rise to about 20 or 25 feet above grade on a low grade property with drainage, and then return to grade when it hit the Basalt Creek Parkway/Grahams Ferry intersection.
 - Once the parkway was at full build-out, there would be vertical retaining walls on the west side of Grahams Ferry Rd, but for now, it would have side slopes. The parkway would affect the view from either side, almost like a massive berm. Envisioning the road profile in three dimensions and recognizing what a physical barrier the parkway would be in the interim and at final build-out was a turning point for both City Councils with regard to what made sense as a boundary. There was no relationship across it from one side of the other.
- Noting Consideration for Success Item 3, concern was expressed about the City finding some way to have a consistent industrial look on both sides of the parkway; otherwise it would be detrimental to the community. The assumption was that the Form-based Code planning being done on Day Rd would likely to shift to Basalt Creek, so coordinating with the City of Tualatin on look, design, feel, and a concept plan similar to the Form-based Code, even if Tualatin mimicked some of Wilsonville's concepts, would be beneficial to ensure a consistent look. Even with similar aesthetics, each side of the road could have very different developments.

- With regard to SMART, there was no intention to combine efforts or integrate SMART into Tualatin; however, expanding SMART past the existing Wilsonville city limit was important to Wilsonville for the success of the Basalt Creek area. The City wanted to ensure employers in Basalt Creek received the services promised to other Wilsonville employers.
 - Expanding SMART would require a proposal to TriMet. The two Councils discussed the City of Tualatin willingness to support efforts to work with TriMet or any other entities to get SMART's service expanded in Wilsonville. The City of Tualatin would be responsible for determining TriMet or their own local service within their jurisdictional boundary. Stephan Lashbrook, SMART Director would discuss the process to expand SMART at the next City Council meeting.
 - Michael Kohlhoff, City Attorney, explained the petition and withdrawal process involved with TriMet being removed from a territory, which property owners had to support by State statute. TriMet could only be petitioned to be removed from a territory once every five years and this was the fifth year, so the horizon to do the petition fell into the latter part of this summer and fall. Mr. Lashbrook would be presenting that process and timing to City Council. As stated, if Tualatin supported the withdrawal, they would have to consider what that would mean for their city. For businesses in Basalt Creek, there would be a different rate structure because SMART's rate structure was less than TriMet. Although, TriMet would be able to compensate for that, TriMet would need to look at the service currently being provided, what service they could provide in the future, and the subsequent impacts on TriMet's rate base.
 - The prospect of TriMet providing service to SMART's central locations was one of many considerations being discussed in determining how the two transit entities could best cooperate and work toward a complete strategy and plan.
 - TriMet was trying to determine whether to have bus service into Portland, and Wilsonville already had a TriMet bus not coming to the transit center. The least expensive option seemed to be for TriMet to continue the route from Commerce Circle to the transit center, rather than SMART coming up with a new bus line.
 - Mr. Kohlhoff noted there were many issues to consider when trying to determine the best approach, such as the prison did not contribute to SMART, and yet TriMet was not serving the prison at all. Mr. Lashbrook was working diligently with respect to all the issues, legislation, etc.
- Considering that the parkway was projected to be dead ended for a while, all the traffic on the parkway
 would come down Boones Ferry Rd and possibly Grahams Ferry Rd resulting in a huge amount of traffic,
 especially with the southwest connector. Even if the parkway crossed I-5, there would be no reason to go
 over I-5 and people would still come south to get onto I-5. A few might use the parkway to access
 shopping if they could not get through the short way.
 - The Grahams Ferry Rd and Day Rd improvements outlined in the Basalt Creek Transportation Refinement Plan were connected to concerns about that extra traffic and were in the planning horizon.
 - The improvements were part of the concept plan's implementation, which was partly why the City was talking with its partners to the north about getting additional funds directed to Basalt Creek for those improvements. The City wanted to see the majority of the County's TDT directed to those specific projects in the Basalt Creek planning area.
- The extension to Tonquin Rd was omitted from the plan in favor of improvements to Grahams Ferry Rd. Staff was uncertain of the extent of the planned improvements and make the Basalt Creek report available to the Commission. Grahams Ferry Rd would become a major arterial to Day Rd.
- Improvements to the railroad underpass were also identified as projects in the concept plan.
- Staff confirmed the Preferred Boundary would not require the City to install a pumping station in Basalt Creek.
- Next steps included working with the consultant team to scope out how to get to a preferred or final concept plan. One of the first things to be done would be to get public input on the land use and concept plan around the Preferred Jurisdictional Boundary. Additionally, the project team would begin refining the ideas, tools, and implementation actions needed to address the ten considerations to ensure they were integrated into the final concept plan as well as the implementation actions for both cities and other potential parties, for example, if the City pursued using the County's TDT.

- Staff planned to return in the fall for the adoption of a single concept plan. Both cities would adopt the same Concept Plan, which was expected in the fall, and then each City would have its own implementing regulations since each city had different existing development codes, so the implementing ordinances and language in each city's code would be unique per city.
- The role of the Planning Commission was still being discussed as Staff worked with the City's partners on rescoping how the concept plan would be adopted. Wilsonville's City Staff envisioned that the Planning Commission would review the Concept Plan in a work session and hold a hearing on the draft Concept Plan prior to City Council's work sessions and hearings.
- Ground had already been broken on the first phase of the Basalt Creek Parkway, and the section from 124th Ave to Grahams Ferry Rd was envisioned being completed in the summer of 2018. Some additional improvements to Grahams Ferry Rd and Tonquin Rd would be part of that project. The County's goal was to finish the section between Grahams Ferry Rd and Boones Ferry Rd in 10 years, and they were currently working on a proposal for the environmental work on that phase.
- The City of Tualatin was the proposer for the project with Metro and received a substantial grant for the Basalt Creek planning, but an intergovernmental agreement was in place between Metro, both cities, and the County, that all the entities would work together on the concept planning and that both cities were responsible for the concept plan. Tualatin was also contributing Staff time.

VIII. INFORMATIONAL

A. Town Center Master Plan (Bateschell)

Miranda Bateschell, Long-Range Planning Manager, explained that considering a redevelopment plan for Town Center was identified as a project in the Urban Renewal Plan and had been set as a Council priority when City Council set its goals last spring. The City was awarded a \$320,000 Community Development Planning Grant (CDPG) to do the Town Center Master Plan with a City match of \$100,000 from the Urban Renewal Fund. The goal was to build on the vision established through the pilot project done by the City a few years ago with Portland State University. She read the vision from the Project Narrative, provided on Page 4 of 20 of the Staff report, and reviewed the diagram on Page 5 that identified the key outcomes of the Master Plan.

- Staff envisioned having a strong, innovative and highly engaged public involvement plan and wanted the master planning process to be exciting for the community. Everyone used Town Center and Staff wanted that tie to Town Center not only to continue, but be further enhanced and strengthened.
- An important piece resulting from the process would be an identified strategy and outline of action items to implement the Master Plan. To make Town Center a successful place, Staff envisioned having implementation actions for various interested parties in the community. As a first step, the City would likely have actions to implement, but actions were also envisioned for local businesses, and perhaps, a phase two demonstration project, but it all depended on how the master planning project took shape.
- The timeline for completing the project was expected to be about two years, perhaps longer.
- Staff would like to present the project to the public this summer to begin discussions about how Town Center functioned and what citizens wanted or would like to see, and get them excited and engaged on a regular basis with new communication tools.
- First steps included developing an RFP for consultant services to provide needed expertise and to develop a scope of work for the master planning project. The City had received a lot of interest about the project and hoped to get innovative ideas about how to engage the public and create the Master Plan.
- Staff anticipated returning to the Planning Commission in May to discuss and get input on the public involvement plan. Tonight, Staff sought ideas about events or methods to best engage Wilsonville's residents and business owners, as well as input about what results the Commission would like to see from project.

Comments from the Commission and discussion continued as follows:

• During the recent public meetings for Frog Pond, there was a lot of frustration because the public did not feel like they were being heard. The City needed to be careful to respect and acknowledge even opposing

views. The public input process seemed to fall apart because there were a couple meetings and then the process was over without any type of wrap up session in that forum to indicate what would happen next and provide closure, which was frustrating for many citizens who attended those meetings. There were some skeptical citizens now.

- It was important to acknowledge everyone's input and not just those on a task force because some citizens were shut down when making comments on Frog Pond because they were not on the task force. Keeping the lines of communication open was important.
 - One thing to consider was whether to have a task force or use different alternatives for public engagement and how you get advisory input. Being early in the process, choosing those methods was still open to conversation. With a task force, certain members were often perceived as being appointed, resulting in questions as to why they were chosen. Though voicing their own opinions, task force members are often expected in some ways to represent many other voices.
 - One alternative model focused on mass public engagement, which involved a lot more social media and web-based platforms that track people's comments so people could respond to one another's suggestions or project ideas. This model promoted more interaction and helped facilitate conversation about those ideas that would not occur otherwise.
 - Other models included focus groups of 10 to 15 people; hosting bigger, more charrette-based events for people to provide input; and setting up a storefront. For example, a tenant space in Town Center could be rented two or three times during the process where the consultants would be available so people could stop by when they could, such as on a lunch or coffee break, to get an update or provide input into the process.
 - Staff was exploring what public engagement options might be available and looking to get a lot of input and ideas from those responding to the RFP about what was working now. The world of communication and engagement was shifting drastically and the City sought input about how to best engage the community so the Master Plan would be successful, which would integrating input from the citizens and interested parties. The City needed to acknowledge all that input and figure out how to work in as much as possible in a balanced way to create a Master Plan about which everyone could be excited.
- The Memorial Park planning process seemed to go very well using mostly open houses to get grass roots input, and no task force. The process felt open and the mass meeting with the display boards seemed to be very engaging for people. The open houses were also a short term commitment, which was another issue with community involvement as no one had time to commit to weeks of planning. Providing opportunities for shorter time commitments would be better for a lot of Wilsonville's citizens.
- The Project Narrative mentioned getting input from a diversity of the community, which was extremely important but also a challenge, so it would be interesting to see what the consultants would suggest.
- Renting a storefront was a good idea and would draw in people who currently frequented Town Center.
- From the Chamber of Commerce's perspective, businesses were very interested in participating on a two-fold front. While the tendency was to focus on the land owners, many people had invested extensively in being long-term tenants, even well into the future, and they needed to be engaged as well as the land owners to have a successful process.
 - While diversity was certainly needed, one thing that was concerning about this approach from the business front was that business owners could not be as open as they wanted and may fear negative reactions from the citizenry who may have different interests or a different approach for Town Center. The City might want to consider opportunities for businesses to provide input in a different forum where they could speak openly. Businesses in the Town Center area were extremely interested in having a voice in the process, but they might not be overly honest about their business concerns if they believed it might negatively impact business.
 - There was no way to gauge or involve potential business tenants, but there must be a way to create excitement about what might happen in Town Center to attract potential businesses.
 - Citizens might want certain businesses in Town Center, but people did not realize the City could not force someone to open a particular business.

- Landlords of multi-tenant units tend to promote or work to attract certain businesses because the mix of business tenants was extremely important. Landlords spend a lot of money on consultants to figure out the right mix of businesses to have in a particular area.
- Given the overlay of Town Center, it was hard to imagine how achieve a grid pattern without massive reconstruction.
 - Per recent travel opportunities, it was witnessed that successful city centers had grid patterns that created a lot of vitality. A pedestrian-only section also seemed to work in successful places with parking toward the periphery.
 - Getting the right businesses with the right physical features to attract people was a consideration. For example, Kansas City had a strip mall with a beautiful walking area and water features but one restaurant had a dinosaur theme the emitted amplified dinosaur sounds, which were not appealing.
- Ms. Bateschell clarified that when the Project Narrative was written, the Regal Cinema structure was listed for sale, which was why Page 5 discussed it being recently vacated. She understood it had been taken off the market.
- Having Clackamas Community College involved in the process was important. The City should find a way to leverage the college, which was a great resource that many people were unaware of.
 - Clackamas Community College was definitely included on the list of people/entities the City would engage in this project, as well as OIT, especially with regard to the newer, more creative approaches using technology as part of the City's public engagement plan. The City would contact the college to determine how they wanted to be engaged, which also depended on the format used for public involvement. The formats might change based on who was engaged. For example, the tendency was to have smaller, more private focus groups for business owners.
- A task force format locked the City in, but not having a task force would enable the City to try a lot of different approaches for public involvement depending on the stage of the project.
 - Given the diverse uses of the land, the focus group approach would seem to be very appropriate and productive.
- If the storefront concept was utilized, architectural renderings, street plans, and other concepts could be displayed via video when the office was closed. A digital display could be changed as more information and public input was received. Providing flyers or a QR Code to enable cell phone users to be added to an email distribution list was also suggested.
 - During the Frog Pond process, people were required to attend meetings and make their comments at that time. In the end, when no closure was provided, people used social media to vent their frustrations.
 - Being able to insert an idea and have conversations about that specific idea without mixing or competing with other ideas for Town Center would be helpful.
 - Having tangible museum-like displays in a storefront would not necessarily require the storefront to be staffed by the consultants; even Planning Commissioners or other board members could volunteer to be at the storefront.
- The Parks and Recreation building could be an option for the storefront concept, but it would be out of the traffic loop and having the storefront in Town Center would be most beneficial. Perhaps, one of the vacant Town Center spaces could be donated.
- Ms. Bateschell added some of Staff's ideas for this summer included tapping into existing community events to spread the word and get people engaged, whether through some type of social media outlet, storefront, farmers market, etc. that would evolve over the time of the project.
 - The City also had the opportunity to use other events to launch the project unlike a standard hearing or public open house, such as tactical urbanism which put ideas and concepts of the master plan on the ground temporarily to give people a sense of what they might feel like and enable citizens to engage and respond to the concept. One example was creating a temporary greenway solely for pedestrians and bikes, where Astroturf was laid out to reflect potential designs. All kinds of events were planned on this temporary greenway with balloons and activities and people in the community were able to give real feedback about what felt good, what seemed to fit the environment, what they liked and would not like to see in the area. Such concepts provide the opportunity to be creative and play out the types of

potential changes in Town Center so people had the opportunity to engage with these potential concepts and respond accordingly.

- Providing people an opportunity to see how different features or ideas, like an amphitheater or meandering creek, might look virtually would also be beneficial. Examples would not have to be tactical, but providing a virtual rendition of how certain concepts and features might look would help because people may not be good at envisioning certain features.
 - Ms. Bateschell assured \$420,000 was a decent budget and visualization was discussed in the grant proposal, so with technology evolving so quickly, Staff would be able to execute some cool ideas at the right moments in the project, but obviously, it could not be done with every iteration.
- Portable digital terminals were to be used to get input for the Transit Master Plan which would enable the consultants to poll people immediately with specific questions no matter the location. This would provide another way to receive input from different locations.
 - Reaching out through social media would not reach everyone, but talking to people on the ground and collecting data would be very valuable, and would possibly get people involved in businesses at the ground level as well. Land owners and tenants had been mentioned, but employees would provide another perspective that had not really been discussed.
- No official tally had been taken of the landowners in this area, but the significant property owners in the 100-acre Town Center area included the community college, post office, shopping center, Fry's, and the apartment complex.
- The technical boundary for the Master Plan was Town Center Loop and Wilsonville Rd, but the grant application also discussed influence areas, so adjacent properties, such as those south of Wilsonville Rd and possibly farther, as well as the Art Tech School and City Community Center, were included to really understand the impacts. The City wanted to see more connections between both sides of Wilsonville Rd.
- The open land in the planning area was owned by Kaiser and the City.
- Portions of Town Center did fall within the urban renewal zone. The matching funds were coming from the East Side Urban Renewal District, which was scheduled to close in 2020, so the concept was that a new urban renewal area would likely be created if that was an appropriate funding mechanism to use.
- Having built new business areas like Fred Meyer and Argyle Square, the process should also include finding opportunities for businesses in Town Center to upgrade as well. The Development Code should be reviewed to ensure it included things that encourage redevelopment, not just on a macro level, but at micro levels as well to encourage tenant improvements and not just new developments with new buildings.
- Concern was expressed about development, like the three-story storage building being built opposite the post office, conflicting with the future vision of Town Center.
 - One way to prevent development outside the preferred scope would be to impose a planning moratorium for the area; however, the rationale for such a policy would have to be very solid. A moratorium would stop development during the master planning process, but given the past recessionary and new market times such a policy could be very difficult to implement and might not be practical.
 - Generally speaking, land owners who wanted to develop would probably want to wait and see how the master plan developed because it would affect the marketability of their land and the type of development they could bring in. On the other hand, people do make their own decisions on such things.

IX. OTHER BUSINESS

A. 2016 Planning Commission Work Program

Chris Neamtzu, Long-Range Planning Manager, noted a partial work program was included in the packet, but he and Ms. Bateschell had completed a 12-month work program that was quite robust. He noted an informational item regarding directional bike signage had been added to next month's agenda and that additional items were being added daily.

• He confirmed the Commission would begin work on the Frog Pond Area Plan in February, discussing different concepts, diagrams, and urban form with regard to developing the West Neighborhood. He was uncertain what the consultant would be presenting, but a presentation was scheduled for next month.

- The Citywide signage and wayfinding program was a high priority project on the work program, but it had not started partly because the City logo had not been finalized yet.
- With regard to the Old Town Bypass, Community Development Director Nancy Kraushaar had been talking with consultants about a corridor plan for that road. Determining which railroad crossing to use would be an important part of the corridor plan, but the project had not reached that point yet so the City did not know which crossing ODOT Rail preferred. The Old Town Bypass was listed on the yearlong work program.
- The Kinsman Road project between Boeckman Rd and Barber Rd was at about 90 percent design.

Michael Kohlhoff, City Attorney, added the Kinsman Road project was still on schedule to begin this summer. The City was currently considering a three-party utility provider agreement with Tualatin Valley Water District, the City of Hillsboro and ODOT to allow the Tualatin Valley/Hillsboro pipeline to be put in at the same time as the Kinsman Road project to avoid having to tear up a segment of Kinsman Rd in another couple years. That agreement was subject to some other cost and payment negotiations the City hoped to complete by May. The project was scheduled to go out to bid in April with construction to begin in May.

Staff had no further updates regarding the French Prairie Bridge but anticipated launching the public involvement plan in the summer, with an initial Planning Commission work session on the alternatives and a public open house likely in October.

X. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Greenfield adjourned the regular meeting of the Wilsonville Planning Commission at 7:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

By Paula Pinyerd of ABC Transcription Services, Inc. for Tami Bergeron, Administrative Assistant - Planning