RESOLUTION NO. CLXXI (171)

A RESOLUTION RECOMMENDING TO THE WASHINGTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DENIAL OF THE PETITION FOR LOCATIONAL ADJUSTMENT OF THE METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY AS REQUESTED BY EARLE C. MAY FOR TAX LOT 500, T3S-RIW, SECTION 1.

WHEREAS, Earle C. May, as owner of Tax Lot 500, T3S-R1W, Section 1, a 45.2 acre parcel commonly known as 8245 Elligsen Road, has petitioned for a locational adjustment to include said property within the Metropolitian Service District's Urban Growth Boundary, and

WHEREAS, the subject property lies within Washington County and the City's boundary line abuts the property on two of its four sides, and

WHEREAS, the City of Wilsonville's boundary line and Urban Growth Boundary are one and the same and in the case of this property, the City's Urban Growth Boundary is also co-terminus with the Urban Growth Boundary of Washington County and the Metropolitan Service District, and

WHEREAS, the City of Wilsonville's Urban Public Facility Service Area is contained wholly within the City Limits with the exception of an extension to the Tualatin Rural Fire Protection District to the north and the Oregon State Department of Transportation Rest Stops to the south, and

WHEREAS, the City's Planning Commission has reviewed the subject boundary amendment and has made recommendation for denial based upon its findings and conclusions at its May 11, 1981, meeting, that immediate annexation would not serve any need that is not currently covered by undeveloped land currently available within the City now or in the foreseeable future, and

WHEREAS, the City finds that the subject request is currently not supported by:

 Demonstrated need to accomodate urban population growth requirements to the year 2000 consistent with LCDC goals.

RESOLUTION NO. CLXXI (171)

PAGE 1 OF 2

- 2. Need for housing and employment opportunities and livability.
- 3. Early and economic provisions of public facilities and services.
- 4. Maximum efficiency of land uses within and on the fringe of the existing urban areas.
- 5. Environmental energy, economic and social consequences.
- 6. Retention of agricultural land as defined with Class 1 being the highest priority for retention and Class 6 the lowest priority.
- 7. Compatibility of the proposed urban uses with nearby agricultural activities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that based upon the findings and recommendations of the Planning staff and Planning Commission, the City finds the subject Urban Growth Boundary Amendment to be in conflict with the City's Comprehensive Plan and a premature conversion of rural to urban land in violation of LCDC Goals 11 and 14.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City objects to the locational adjustment of the Metropolitan Service District's Urban Growth Boundary and recommends to the Washington County Board of Commissioners denial of said request.

ADOPTED by the Wilsonville City Council at a regular meeting thereof this 15 day of quare, 1981, and filed with the Wilsonville City Recorder this same date.

WILLIAM G. LOWRIE, Mayor City of Wilsonville

ATTEST:

DEANNA J. THOM, City Recorder City of Wilconville

RESOLUTION NO. CLXXI (171)

PAGE 2 OF 2