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Christopher L. Aston
State of Oregon, O\&M Landscape
1240 Ferry St. SE
Salem, OR 97301

## RE: Tree Protection Plan for the North Valley Complex Renovation Project

## Summary

The State of Oregon is renovating the North Valley Complex at 26755 SW 95th Avenue, Wilsonville, OR 97070. The exterior renovation includes the addition of a fenced-in parking, improved lighting, and improvements to the southwest entrance. A tree inventory resulted in 144 trees on the property, many of which are away from the impacts of development. A total of 27 trees are proposed for removal and 126 trees are proposed to be retained and protected.

## Assignment

Assist the State of the Oregon in meeting the tree protection and preservation requirements of the City of Wilsonville tree code: Section 4.610.40, Type C Permit and Section 4.620.00, Tree Relocation, Mitigation or Replacement. This report does not discuss the number or species of new trees proposed for planting, rather it only presents what is required.

## Background

A Significant Resource Overlay Zone (SROZ) is located west of the building. The proposed renovations to the building will not impact the SROZ.

## Observations

The tree survey was conducted on March 3, 2021. The following information was collected for each tree over 6 -inches in diameter at 4.5 above ground level: tree number, common name, botanical, name, DBH, height, canopy spread, health, condition, and pertinent comments. Tree locations were recorded on a survey provided by the State of Oregon. All trees were tagged with aluminum tags that correspond with the tree survey included in this report.

## Discussion

## Section 4.610.40- Type C Permit

Section 4.610.10.H.1 - Necessary for Construction (3 trees)
Trees $80-82$ are three ornamental cherry trees that are proposed for removal to facilitate exterior improvements to the southwest entrance.

Section 4.610.10.H. 2 Damaged, Dead, and Failed Trees (10 trees)
Trees 57, 67 and 94 failed in the February 2021 ice storm. Tree 96 is dead. Trees 6, 49, 68, 87, 93, and 99 were heavily damaged in the February 2021 ice storm.

An additional five (5) trees are recommended for removal due to declining health. Trees 1, 2, 7, 66, and 84 are either outgrowing the soil volume needed for tree growth or are otherwise showing poor incremental growth.

Section 4.610.10.H.3 - Interference of Trees in the ROW (9 trees)
Trees $50-52,60,65,70,74,78,84$ are all trees in or adjacent to the right-of-way that was overplanted. The removal of these nine (9) trees is proposed to facilitate the growth of nearby trees in and adjacent to the right-of-way.

Table 1 Summary of Trees proposed for removal.

| Code | Trees | Count |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Section 4.610.10.H.1 - Necessary for Construction | $80-82$ | 3 |
| Section 4.610.10.H.2 Damaged, Dead, and Failed Trees | $6,49,57,67,68,87,93,94,96,99$ | 10 |
| Section 4.610.10.H.3 - Interference of Trees in the ROW | $50-52,54,60,65,70,74,78$ | 9 |
| Remove for declining health | $1,2,7,66,84$ | 5 |
|  |  | Total: |

## Section 4.620.00 Tree Relocation, Mitigation or Replacement

Twenty-seven (27) trees are proposed for removal. Of these, 25 are deciduous trees and two (2) are evergreen trees. Trees shall be selected and established per the replacement requirements outlined in Section 4.620.00.

Section 4.620.10 Tree Protection During Construction (requirement of a Tree Maintenance and Protection Plan)
No development of new structures or renovations of existing structures is occurring on the north or east sides of the property, hence no tree protection fencing is necessary.

New trees are proposed to be planted west of the sidewalk along SW $95^{\text {th }}$ Ave. These trees are a minimum of 15 feet from the existing trees located between the building and sidewalk. No tree protection fencing should be necessary. No heavy equipment is to sit or track across the east landscape bed and turf area when installing the new trees.

The proposed renovation includes restricting access to several parking spaces in the southeast corner of the existing parking lot. The fence is to be installed inside the curb and over the existing asphalt and will not impact tree roots. Existing trees in the southeast landscape strip shall be protected with tree protection fencing.

The storage of equipment and vehicles will be in the south parking lot. Tree protection fencing is proposed on the south side of the parking lot. Tree protection fencing extends from the southwest corner north along the west perimeter of the parking lot.

## Recommendations

Based on the proposed exterior improvements and trees inventoried, I recommend the following:

1. Removal of 27 trees due to health, construction, or
2. Protection of $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ trees. Trees in the southeast landscape bed, south landscape beds, southwest landscape bed, and west of the parking lot are to be protected with tree protection fencing. See Appendices 3-6 for specifications.

## Conclusion

The proposed renovation project is compatible with tree protection measures.
Sincerely,


Christine Johnson, MS
ISA Certified Arborist, PN-8730A

## Enclosures:

Appendix 1: Certification of Performance
Appendix 2: Assumptions and Limiting Conditions
Appendix 3: Tree Protection Specifications
Appendix 4: Tree Inventory
Appendix 5: Tree Protection Plan
Appendix 6: Tree Protection Signage

## Appendix 1: Certification of Performance

I, Christine Johnson, certify:

- That a representative of Teragan \& Associates, Inc., has inspected the tree(s) and/or the property referred to in this report. The extent of the evaluation is stated in the attached report.
- That Teragan \& Associates, Inc. has no current or prospective interest in the vegetation of the property that is the subject of this report, and Teragan \& Associates, Inc. has no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.
- That Teragan \& Associates, Inc.'s compensation is not contingent upon the reporting of a predetermined conclusion that favors the cause of the client or any other party, or upon the results of the assessment, the attainment of stipulated results, or the occurrence of any subsequent events.
- That the analysis, opinions, and conclusions that were developed as part of this report have been prepared according to commonly accepted arboricultural practices.
- That a Board-Certified Master Arborist has overseen the gathering of data.


## Appendix 2: Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

1. Any legal description provided to the consultant is assumed to be correct. Teragan and Associates, Inc. checked the species identification and tree diameters in the field.
2. It is assumed that this property is not in violation of any codes, statutes, ordinances, or other governmental regulations.
3. The consultant is not responsible for information gathered from others involved in various activities pertaining to this project. Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable sources.
4. Loss or alteration of any part of this delivered report invalidates the entire report.
5. Drawings and information contained in this report may not be to scale and are intended to be used as display points of reference only.
6. The consultants' role is only to make recommendations. Inaction on the part of those receiving the report is not the responsibility of the consultant.
7. This report is to certify the trees that are on site, their size and condition and create a tree plan. Tree plan to include the measures necessary to protect trees that are to be retained during the construction.

## Appendix 3: Tree Protection Specifications

It is critical that the following steps be taken to ensure that trees slated for retention are protected.

## Before Construction Begins

1. Notify all contractors of the tree protection procedures. For successful tree protection on a construction site, all contractors must know and understand the goals of tree protection. It can only take one mistake with a misplaced trench or other action to destroy the future of a tree.
a. Hold a Tree Protection meeting with all contractors to fully explain goals of tree protection.
b. Have all sub-contractors sign memoranda of understanding regarding the goals of tree protection. Memoranda to include penalty for violating tree protection plan. Penalty to equal appraised value of tree(s) within the violated tree protection zone per the current Trunk Formula Method as outline by the Council of Tree \& Landscape Appraisers current edition of the Guide for Plant Appraisal.

## 2. Fencing (City of Wilsonville Public Works Standard Drawing R-1155).

a. Tree protection fencing is to be put in place before construction begins in order to protect the trees and the soil around the trees from any disturbance. Exception is if trees are to be removed that are located within the tree protection areas (TPA), they should be removed prior to installing the tree protection fencing without the use of mechanized wheeled or tracked equipment.
b. Fencing is to be placed at the edge of the TPA as shown on the Tree Protection Plan (Appendix 4). TPAs are initially established by the project arborist based on the needs of the site and the tree(s) to be protected and approved by the City's Urban Forester.
c. Tree protection fencing shall be $6^{\prime}$ in height and set at the edge of the dripline, hardscape, or as shown on the Tree Protection Plan.
d. Fence materials shall consist of a 2 " mesh chain links secured to a minimum of $1 \frac{1 / 2}{}$ diameter steel or aluminum line posts.
e. Posts shall be set to a depth of no less than $2^{\prime}$ in native soil.
f. Tree protection fencing is to remain in place until the completion of adjacent construction activities. Tree protection fencing is not to be moved without written permission from the project arborist until the end of the project unless otherwise approved by the City's authorized representative.
g. No equipment shall operate inside the tree protective fencing including during fence installation and removal unless otherwise approved by the project aborist.
3. Signage.
a. All tree protection fencing should have tree protection so that all contractors understand the purpose of the tree protection fencing. See Appendix 6 for signage.
b. The sign shall be a minimum size of 8.5 " $\times 11$ ".
c. Signage shall be secured to tree protection fencing with wire ties or plastic zip ties.
d. Signage shall be placed 42 inches ( 3.5 ft ) above ground and spaced 50 feet apart. Signage mist be clearly visible to contractors and the public.
e. Signage shall be weather resistant, either laminated in plastic or placed on aluminum sheeting.

## During Construction

1. Protection guidelines within the TPA.
a. No traffic shall be allowed within the TPA. No vehicle, heavy equipment, or even repeated foot traffic.
b. No storage of materials including but not limiting to soil, construction material, or waste from the site.
i. Waste includes but is not limited to concrete wash out, gasoline, diesel, paint, cleaner, thinners, etc.
c. Construction trailers are not to be parked / placed within the TPA without written clearance from project arborist.
d. No vehicles shall be allowed to park within the TPA.
e. No activity shall be allowed that will cause soil compaction within the TPA.
2. Tree protection. Retained trees shall be protected from any cutting, skinning or breaking of branches, trunks or roots.
3. Root pruning. Any roots that are to be cut from existing trees that are to be retained, the project consulting arborist shall be notified to evaluate, document, and oversee the proper cutting of roots with sharp cutting tools. Cut roots are to be immediately covered with soil or mulch to prevent them from drying out.
4. Grade changes. No grade change should be allowed within the TPA.
5. Tree protection area changes. Any necessary deviation of the TPA shall be cleared by the project arborist and approved by the City's Urban Forester.
6. Watering. Provide water to trees during the summer months as needed. Tree(s) that will have had root system(s) cut back will need supplemental water to overcome the loss of ability to absorb necessary moisture during the summer months.
7. Utilities. Any necessary passage of utilities through the TPA shall be by means of tunneling under roots by hand digging or boring under the supervision of the project arborist.
8. Reinspection of fencing. Tree protection fencing is subject to inspection by the City. The project arborist highly recommends monthly inspections of tree protection fencing to ensure compliance with the permit and protection of the trees.

## After Construction

1. Fences are to remain standing until the completion of the project.
2. Carefully landscape in the area of the tree. Do not allow trenching or soil rototilling within the TPA. Carefully plant new plants within the TPA. Avoid cutting roots.
3. Do not plan for irrigation within the TPA of existing trees unless it is drip irrigation for a specific planting or cleared by the project arborist.
4. Provide for or ensure that adequate drainage will occur around the retained trees.
5. Pruning of the trees should be completed as one of the last steps of the landscaping process before the final placement of trees, shrubs, ground covers, mulch or turf.
6. Provide for inspection and treatment of insect and disease populations that are capable of damaging the retained trees and plants.
7. Trees that are retained may need to be fertilized as called for by project arborist after final inspection.

Appendix 4: Tree Inventory for North Valley Complex

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Common Name | Botanical Name | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { DBH }^{*} \\ \text { (in) } \end{array}$ | $\underset{\text { Height }}{\text { (ft) }}$ | Spread (ft) | Condition** | Structure** | Remove or Retain | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cherry | Prunus serrulata | 12 | 20 | 15 | Fair | Fair | Remove - Declining health | Diameter measured at 3.75' AGL; dead and crossing branches; decay on SW side of trunk; water sprouts. |
| 2 | Cherry | Prunus sertulata | 15 | 25 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Remove - Declining health | Diameter at 3' AGL; water sprouts; crossing branches, touching building. |
| 3 | Hawthorn | Crataegus sp. | 7 | 10 | 10 | Good | Fair | Retain | Diameter at 3.5' AGL; topped; weak branch attachments; unbalanced canopy to the W. |
| 4 | Hawthorn | Crataegus sp. | 12 | 10 | 15 | Good | Fair | Retain | Topped; crossing branches. |
| 5 | Hawthorn | Crataegus sp. | 11 | 10 | 15 | Good | Fair | Retain | Topped; crossing branches. |
| 6 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 16 | 20 | 30 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Multistem: 7,6,6,3,4,5,6; storm damage; four leaders have decay present; unbalanced canopy with storm damage. |
| 7 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 12 | 15 | 15 | Fair | Poor | Remove - Declining health | Multistem: $6,6,5,5,5$; inclusion; decay or wound on every leader; some storm damage in upper canopy. |
| 8 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 20 | 50 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain | Codominant leaders: 16,13 . |
| 9 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 18 | 50 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 10 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 12 | 30 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Multistem: 6,8,6,3; some storm damage in upper canopy. |
| 11 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 21 | 50 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Codominant leaders:17,13. |
| 12 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 18 | 50 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 13 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 50 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 14 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 11 | 25 | 15 | Good | Fair | Retain | Multistem: 7,7,4,4; inclusions; unbalanced to the E. |
| 15 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 22 | 50 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Codominant leaders: 16,15. |
| 16 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 50 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 17 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 50 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Multistem: 13,8,8. |
| 18 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 50 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 19 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 50 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 20 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 45 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Fused leaders; diameter measured at 1.25' AGL. |
| 21 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 14 | 50 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 13,6. |
| 22 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 50 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Codominant leaders:12,11. |
| 23 | Japanese maple | Acer palmatum | 14 | 30 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Multistem: 8,7,6,5,4,3; unbalanced to the SE. |
| 24 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 13 | 40 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 25 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 14 | 40 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 26 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12 | 40 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 27 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 8 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 28 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 11 | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 29 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 30 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 11 | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 31 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 10 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 32 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 45 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 33 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 40 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 34 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 45 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 35 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 10 | 30 | 10 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 36 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 12 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 37 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 38 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 39 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 10 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 40 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 41 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 42 | Colorado blue spruce | Picea pungens | 11 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 43 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 8 | 25 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 44 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 14 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 45 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 10 | 30 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 46 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 47 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 11 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 48 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 14 | 35 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 49 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 8 | 15 | 10 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Diameter measured at 3.5' AGL; storm damage; heavily unbalanced to NW; lean to NW. |
| 50 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 10 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference |  |
| 51 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 12 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Surface root damage; pruned away from lamp to W. |
| 52 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 12 | 25 | 25 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference | $6^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ of sidewalk; 3.5' W of electric; diameter measured at 4' AGL . |
| 53 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 14 | 25 | 15 | Good | Fair | Retain | Three leaders at 7 '; active decay; wood borer holes. |
| 54 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 55 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 10 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 56 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 20 | Fair | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy, more so than others in the planted stand. |
| 57 | Unknown | Unknown | 0 | n/a | n/a | Dead | Dead | Remove - Dead | Failed; uprooted. |
| 58 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 6 | 20 | 15 | Good | Fair | Retain | Codominant leaders at 6. |
| 59 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 11 | 35 | 20 | Fair | Good | Retain | Some discoloration/yellowing foliage in upper canopy, more so than others in the planted stand. |
| 60 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 20 | Poor | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Declining health; brown and yellowing foliage. |

Appendix 4: Tree Inventory for North Valley Complex

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tree } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Common Name | Botanical Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBH* }^{*} \\ \text { (in) } \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> (ft) | Spread <br> (ft) | Condition** | Structure*** | Remove or Retain | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Three leaders:11,8,11; some discoloration and yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 62 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 13,8 ; some discoloration and yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 63 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 30 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration and yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 64 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Some discoloration and yellowing foliage in upper canopy. |
| 65 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 11 | 25 | 15 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference |  |
| 66 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 10 | 20 | 10 | Very poor | Poor | Remove - Declining health | Blackened and missing bark on lower trunk; boring holes; little to no new growth. |
| 67 | Unknown | Unknown | 0 | n/a | n/a | Dead | Dead | Remove - Dead | Failed; uprooted. |
| 68 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 14 | 20 | 25 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Storm damage, unbalanced canopy. |
| 69 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 17 | 25 | 25 | Good | Fair | Retain | Diameter at 3.75'; Four leaders at $5^{\prime}$; crossing branches; blackened bark on lower trunk; borer holes. |
| 70 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 13 | 25 | 25 | Good | Fair | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Blackened bark on lower trunk; borer holes; surface root damage. |
| 71 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Three leaders: $12,9,8$. |
| 72 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 14 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders:13,6. |
| 73 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 21 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Diameter measured at 0.5' AGL; three fused leaders. |
| 74 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 15 | 25 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Diameter at 3.5' AGL; large inclusion on S side; wound wood and cracked bark. |
| 75 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 35 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 14,8; sapsuckers. |
| 76 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 77 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 16 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 78 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 16 | 30 | 20 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Interference | Slight unbalance to NW. |
| 79 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 13 | 25 | 20 | Good | Fair | Retain | Inclusions; crossing branches; burls. |
| 80 | Cherry | Prumus serrulata | 8 | 10 | 10 | Poor | Very poor | Client Request for Removal - Development - Structure | Two leaders: 6,5; decayed leaders; lean to the E. |
| 81 | Cherry | Prunus serrulata | 10 | 15 | 10 | Good | Fair | Client Request for Removal - Development - Structure | Two leaders:8,6; dead branches; unbalanced to the E; water sprouts. |
| 82 | Cherry | Prunus serrulata | 12 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Client Request for Removal - Development - Structure |  |
| 83 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 15 | 20 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Diameter at 4' AGL, branch inclusions; cable and telecommunications nearby. |
| 84 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 16 | 20 | 20 | Poor | Very poor | Remove - Declining health | Missing bark on S side; will decline; inclusions; cracked bark; dead leaders |
| 85 | Maple | Acer saccharum | 15 | 25 | 25 | Fair | Fair | Retain | Diameter at 4' AGL; wound on south side of trunk; inclusions. |
| 86 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 35 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain | Three leaders: 8,8,4. |
| 87 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 10 | 30 | 25 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Lost 75\% of canopy on storm. |
| 88 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 15 | 35 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain | Multistem: 9,8,7,6,3. |
| 89 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 12,6. |
| 90 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 9 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 91 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 25 | 15 | Poor | Good | Retain | Brown foliage; in decline. |
| 92 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 14 | 30 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 93 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 15 | 30 | 20 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Lost over 50\% of canopy in storm. |
| 94 | Unknown | Unknown | 0 | n/a | n/a | Dead | Dead | Remove - Dead | Failed; uprooted. |
| 95 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 19 | 30 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 96 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 7 | n/a | n/a | Dead | Dead | Remove - Dead |  |
| 97 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 35 | 25 | Fair | Good | Retain | Codominant leaders: 13,11 ; browning and thinning foliage. |
| 98 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 12 | 35 | 25 | Poor | Good | Retain | Browning and thinning foliage. |
| 99 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 12 | 25 | 25 | Poor | Poor | Remove - Damaged | Lost over 50\% of canopy to storm. |
| 100 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 12 | 30 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 101 | Green ash | Fraxinus pennsylvanica | 20 | 35 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 102 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 17 | 35 | 25 | Fair | Good | Retain | Slight browning of foliage in upper canopy. |
| 103 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 7 | 15 | 10 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 104 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 9 | 20 | 10 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 105 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 14 | 30 | 25 | Fair | Good | Retain | Slight browning of foliage. |
| 106 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 19 | 30 | 25 | Fair | Good | Retain | Three leaders: $14,10,8$; slight browning of foliage. |
| 107 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 8 | 25 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain | Three leaders: 6,5,3. |
| 108 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 12 | 25 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 109 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 11 | 20 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Retain | Cracked bark at tree base. |
| 110 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 11 | 20 | 20 | Fair | Fair | Retain | Cracked bark at trunk base and up trunk. |
| 111 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 13 | 25 | 20 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 112 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 14 | 25 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Heavy sapsuckers at 6'. |
| 113 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 14 | 25 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 114 | Red maple | Acer rubrum | 13 | 25 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 115 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | $\sim 15$ | 30 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 116 | Northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 22 | 40 | 35 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 117 | Northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 19 | 40 | 35 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 118 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 13 | 35 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 12,6. |

Appendix 4: Tree Inventory for North Valley Complex
26755 SW 95th Avenue, Wilsonville, OR 97070

| Tree No. | Common Name | Botanical Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { DBH* } \\ \text { (in) } \end{gathered}$ | Height (ft) | Spread <br> (ft) | Condition** | Structure*** | Remove or Retain | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 20 | 35 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain | Two leaders: 8, 18 |
| 120 | Northern red oak | Quercus rubra | 19 | 40 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 121 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | $\sim 13$ | 40 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 122 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | $\sim 28$ | 70 | 40 | Poor | Poor | Retain | Dead branches; thinning foliage. |
| 123 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | $\sim 30$ | 65 | 40 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 124 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | $\sim 36$ | 80 | 50 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 125 | Giant Seqouia | Sequoiadendron giganteum | ~28 | 45 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 126 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 16 | 45 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 127 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 20 | 40 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 128 | Giant Seqouia | Sequoiadendron giganteum | 9 | 20 | 15 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 129 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 17 | 45 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 130 | Giant Seqouia | Sequoiadendron giganteum | 30 | 50 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 131 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 45 | 80 | 40 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 132 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 80 | 40 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 133 | Willow | Salix sp. | 18 | 30 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 134 | Willow | Salix sp. | 13 | 25 | 20 | Fair | Poor | Retain | Two leaders: 7,11; unbalanced to the E. |
| 135 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 44 | 80 | 40 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 136 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 23 | 30 | 30 | Fair | Fair | Retain | Lean to NE; deadwood. |
| 137 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 26 | 60 | 40 | Good | Fair | Retain | Multiple leaders at $20{ }^{\prime}$. |
| 138 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 34 | 50 | 40 | Good | Fair | Retain |  |
| 139 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 27 | 60 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain | Craggy and burled growth. |
| 140 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 9 | 20 | 15 | Good | Poor | Retain | Failed tree leaning on upper canopy. |
| 141 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 25 | 50 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 142 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 27 | 45 | 25 | Good | Fair | Retain | Unbalanced to the N . |
| 143 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 10 | 25 | 10 | Poor | Fair | Retain | Suppressed. |
| 144 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 23 | 60 | 25 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 145 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 19 | 65 | 30 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 146 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 30 | 70 | 40 | Good | Good | Retain | NW corner tree. |
| 147 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 40 | 70 | 50 | Good | Good | Retain | S of 146; codominant leaders: $28,28$. |
| 148 | Incense cedar | Calocedrus decurrens | 27 | 75 | 45 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 149 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 39 | 60 | 50 | Good | Fair | Retain | Codininant leaders; diameter measured at 1' AGL; burls at trunk base; deadwood. |
| 150 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | 25 | 55 | 35 | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| 151 | Bigleaf maple | Acer macrophyllum | $\sim 30$ | 55 | 45 | Fair | Fair | Retain | Odd growth at trunk base; deadwood. |
| 152 | Douglas fir | Pseudotsuga menziesii | 43 | 60 | 50 | Fair | Poor | Retain | Conks at $\sim 20$; hard lean S; failed and regrown. |
| 153 | Western redcedar | Thuja plicata | 8 | n/a | n/a | Dead | Dead | Retain | This tree is in a natural area and does not need to be removed. |

* DBH is diameter measured at the industry standard of 4.5 -feet above ground level.
** Tree health condition and structure ratings are Good, Fair, Poor, Very poor, and Dead
AGL, Above Ground Level
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PROPOSED / NEW LEGEND AND ABBREVIATIONS
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Appendix 5: Tree Protection Plan

| Ac | ASPHALT CONCRETE |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADA } \\ & \text { APPROX } \end{aligned}$ | AMERICANS WITH DISABLITES ACT APPROXIMATELY |
|  | ${ }^{\text {BotTom Of Cub }}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {BPa }}$ |  |
| ¢ BNALL | Bootion OF WALL construct |
|  | Control pont |
| os | Donnspout |
| ${ }_{\text {EA }}$ | Each |
| El |  |
| ESMT | EASEMENT |
| EX | ExITTMG |
|  | finsh floor leveation |
| $\stackrel{\text { FG }}{\text { FL }}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {FT }}$ | FOOTREET |
| Fs | FINSHED SURFACE |
|  | GRADE RREAK |
| ${ }^{\text {GPS }}$ | Glogal Positionng system |
| IF | LENGTH |
| ME | Matchexisting |
|  | MANHOLE |
| MEP | MECHANCAL |
| MN | MNMUM |
| PERF | Perforated |
| PCC | PORTILAND CEMENT CONCRETE |
| $\stackrel{\text { PUE }}{\text { Pue }}$ |  |
|  | PAVEMENT |
| R | ${ }_{\text {RADUS }}$ |
| Row |  |
| $\stackrel{\text { sF }}{\text { SF }}$ | ${ }_{\text {SGOUARE }}$ SEET |
| SROZ | SIGNFICAANT RESOURCE OVERLAY Zone |
| STD | STANARD |
| SWP |  |
| ${ }_{\text {TO }}^{\text {T0 }}$ | TOP Of C Cur |
| TVP | Ef Phement |
|  | 㑑 |
| Twall | TOP OF WALL |
|  | SAWCut line |
|  | new Curb |
| $\xrightarrow{-85} \rightarrow$ | Storm dran (SD) |
| co | Clean out (co) |
| ${ }^{10}$ | area dran (AD) |
| vroo | Vertical transtion |
|  | Clean out (NCO) |
| --- ${ }_{\text {G日 }}$ | GRade break |
|  | spotelevation |
| $\sim$ | overland drannage directon |

SW freeman drive




North Valley Complex Renovation Design Review Package


## VEGETATION/TREE PROTECTION ZONE

## DO NOT REMOVE OR ADJUST THIS FENCING. THE FENCE LOCATIONS ARE APPROVED TO PROTECT VEGETATION AND TREES.

Please contact the Code Enforcement Specialist and project arborist, if alterations to the approved location of the protection fencing are needed.

Project Arborist: TERAGAN \& ASSOCIATES, INC 503-697-1975 Date of Tree Protection Plan: 09/13/2021

